

## UNITED STATE PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO		
09/644,121	08/23/00	HUBBELL		J	314573-1030	
			7		EXAMINER	
025561 IM22/0914				ر بر رسو المراجع		
ALLEN BLOOM C/O DECHERT				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PRINCETON PIKE CORPORATION CENTER					8	
P.O. BOX 52	18			1713	U	
PRINCETON N	8		DATE MAILED:			
				09/14/01		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

<u> </u>		· · ·	•							
Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)					
		09/644,121		HUBBELL ET AL.						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Christopher Her	derson	1713						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address										
Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status										
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>								
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi	s action is non-fi	nal.							
3)										
Disposition of Claims										
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.										
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)[	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
8) Claims 1-7 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.										
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.										
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119										
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
a) All b) Some * c) None of:										
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>										
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).										
A44	(0)									
Attachment(s)  45) Nation of Defendence Cited (DTO 200)										
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  20) Other:										

Art Unit: 1713

Give status of SN 256484 in CROSS REFERENCE.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-6, drawn to hydrolytically susceptible polyanionic polymer (hspp),
   classified in class 526, subclass 283, etc.
- II. Claim 7, drawn to hspp with multidentate component, classified in class 526,subclass 256, etc.

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as component for drilling fluids, dye systems, etc. and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1713

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: See pages 37-43, etc. The specie selected must be absolute, i.e., a specific preformed hspp system.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim(s) as presented are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 1713

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to A. Jackson on August 29, 2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i). Examiner fines one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1713

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Henderson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2448. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues - Fri from 9 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, D. We, can be reached on (703) 308-2450. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-5885.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

C. Henderson/om September 12, 2001

CHRISTOPHER HENDERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER